## 8 Optimal Detection for Additive Noise Channels: 1-D Case

We now derive the optimal demodulator for the waveform channel. From the previous chapter, we have seen that instead of analyzing the waveform channel, we can convert it to an equivalent vector channel. The length of the vector is the same as the size $K$ of the orthonormal basis for the waveforms $s_{1}(t), s_{2}(t), \ldots, s_{M}(t)$. In this chapter, we will assume $K=1$. This is the case, for example, when we use PAM.

Definition 8.1. Detection Problem: When $K=1$, our problem under consideration is simply that of detecting the scalar message $S$ in the presence of additive noise $N$. The received signal $R$ is given by

$$
R=S+N
$$

- $S$ is selected from an alphabet $\mathcal{S}$ containing $M$ possible values $s^{(1)}, s^{(2)}$, $\ldots, s^{(M)}$.
- $p_{S}\left(s^{(j)}\right)=P\left[S=s^{(j)}\right] \equiv p_{j}$.
- $S$ and $N$ are independent.

A detector's job is to guess the value of the channel input $S$ from the value of the received channel output $R$. We denote this guessed value by $\hat{S}$. An optimal detector is the one that minimizes the (symbol) error probability $P(\mathcal{E})=P[\hat{S} \neq S]$.
8.2. The analysis here is very similar to what we have done in Chapter 3. Here, for clarity, we note some important differences:

- In Chapter 3, The channel input and output are denoted by $X$ and $Y$, respectively. Here, they are denoted by $S$ and $R$.
- In Chapter 3, the transition probabilities are arbitrary and summarized by the matrix Q. Here, the transition probabilities is basically controlled by the additive noise.
- In Chapter 3, both $X$ and $Y$ are discrete. Here, $S$ is discrete. However, because noise is continuous, $R$ will be a continuous random variable.




Even with these differences, several techniques that we used in Chapter 3 will be applicable here.
Example 8.3. Review: To re-connect with what we studied in Chapter 3, let's try to find the $\mathbf{Q}$ matrix when the additive noise is discrete. Suppose

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (a) given } S=-1 \text {, we have } R=-1+N \text {; }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P[R=r \mid S=-1] \equiv p_{R \mid s}(r \mid-1) \\
& =\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
0.2, & r \in\{-1.5,-0.7\} \\
0.6, & r=1, \\
0, & \text { otheinise. }
\end{array}=P_{N}(r-(-1))\right. \\
& \text { (b) given } S=1 \text {, we have } R=1+N \text { : } \\
& P[R=r \mid s=1] \equiv P_{R \mid s}(r \mid 1)=P_{N}(r-(1))
\end{aligned}
$$

When $R$ is continuous, the conditional $\operatorname{pmf} p_{R \mid S}(r \mid s)$ is replaced by the conditional pdf $f_{R \mid S}(r \mid s)$. For additive noise $N$ with pdf $f_{N}(n)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{R \mid S}(r \mid s)=f_{N}(r-s) \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example 8.6. Suppose the discrete additive noise in Example 8.3 is replaced by a continuous additive noise:

$$
\text { Area }=\frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{2} \times 4=1
$$

| $S$ | $R$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 1.3800 |
| -1 | -1.7267 |
| 1 | 1.5429 |
| 1 | 1.9886 |
| 1 | 1.1556 |
| 1 | 1.8981 |
| 1 | 0.9856 |
| 1 | 0.5699 |
| 1 | 0.7173 |
| -1 | -0.9756 |
| 1 | 1.2335 |
| -1 | -1.1320 |
| -1 | -0.0612 |
| -1 | -2.1877 |
| -1 | -0.9035 |
| 1 | 0.6967 |
| 1 | 1.3127 |
| 1 | 0.1829 |
| 1 | 1.4026 |
| -1 | -1.6058 |



Figure 40: Binary PAM under "Triangular" Noise
8.7. The optimal detector, which minimizes the error probability, is the the MAP detector:

for coach "column" $s \in \mathcal{S}$
Because event $[W=j]$ is the same as event $\left[S=s^{(j)}\right]$ find the max also have i

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{w}_{\mathrm{MAP}}(r)=\underset{j \in\{1,2, \ldots, M\}}{\arg \max } p_{j} f_{N}\left(r-s^{(j)}\right) . \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

When the prior probabilities are ignored, we have the (sub-optimal) ML detector:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{s}_{\mathrm{ML}}(r)=\underset{s \in \mathcal{S}}{\arg \max } f_{R \mid S}(r \mid s)=\underset{s \in \mathcal{S}}{\arg \max } f_{N}(r-s) \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

step ( $\left(\right.$ ) Need $f_{N}(n) \leftarrow$ the noise pdf
and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{w}_{\mathrm{ML}}(r)=\underset{j \in\{1,2, \ldots, M\}}{\arg \max } f_{N}\left(r-s^{(j)}\right) \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

8.8. Graphically, here are the steps to find the MAP detector:
step (ill) Plot $\left.p_{1 .}\right)_{N}\left(r-s^{(1)}\right), p_{2 .)_{N}}^{c}\left(r-s^{(2)}\right), \ldots p_{M} f_{N} \partial r-s^{(M)}$. $f_{N}\left(r-s^{(j)}\right)$
prior Note that they are functions of $r$. pdf of noise row of the $Q$
This matrix in CH 3 . sponding prior probabilities in Chapter 3 to get the $\mathbf{P}$ matrix.
step (yb) Select the maximum plot for each (observed) $r$ value.

- If there are multiple max values, select any.
- The corresponding $s^{(j)}$ is the value of $\hat{s}_{\mathrm{MAP}}$ at $r$.
$p_{j} f_{N}\left(r-s^{(j)}\right)$
represents the $j^{\text {th }}$ row of the $P$ matrix in $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$.

Example 8.9. Back to Example 8.6.


Figure 41: MAPD for Binary PAM under "Triangular" Noise

Definition 8.10. The $i$ th decision "region", denoted by $\mathcal{D}_{i}$ for a decoder $\hat{s}(r)$ is defined as the collection of all the $r$ values at which $r$ is decoded as $s^{(i)}$.

$$
\begin{array}{rr}
\frac{y}{0.35}=\frac{d_{1}}{d_{1}+d_{2}}=\frac{d_{1}}{2} & \frac{y}{0.15}=\frac{d_{2}}{d_{1}+d_{2}}=\frac{d_{2}}{2} \\
y=\frac{0.35}{2} d_{1} & y=\frac{0.15}{2} d_{2}
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{0.35}{2} d_{1} & =\frac{0.15}{2} d_{2} \\
d_{2} & =\frac{35}{15} d_{1}=\frac{7}{3} d_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
y=\frac{0.15}{2} d_{2} \quad \frac{p_{2} f_{N}(0)}{a}\left(x-\left(s^{(2)}-a\right)\right)=-\frac{p_{1} f_{N}(0)}{a}\left(x-\left(s^{(1)}+a\right)\right)
$$

$$
p_{2}\left(x-\left(s^{(2)}-a\right)\right)=-p_{1}\left(x-\left(s^{(1)}+a\right)\right) \quad d_{1}+d_{2}=2
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(p_{2}+p_{1}\right) x=p_{2}\left(s^{(2)}-a\right)+p_{1}\left(s^{(1)}+a\right) \\
& x=0.7(-1)+0.3(1)=-0.4
\end{aligned} d_{1}+\frac{z}{3} d_{1}=2
$$

$$
x=0.7(-1)+0.3(1)=-0.4
$$




$$
\begin{aligned}
3 d_{1}+7 d_{1} & =6 \\
d_{1} & =\frac{6}{10}
\end{aligned}
$$

Figure 42: Solving for $\tau_{\text {MAP }}$ in MAPD for Binary PAM under "Triangular" Noise

- The collection $\mathcal{D}_{1}, \mathcal{D}_{2}, \ldots, \mathcal{D}_{M}$ should partition the whole observable values (support) of $R$.

Example 8.11. Back to Example 8.6.


Figure 43: Decision Regions in MAPD for Binary PAM under "Triangular" Noise
8.12. The error probability of a detector can be found via its success probability

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(\mathcal{C}) & =\sum_{i=1}^{M} P\left(\mathcal{C} \mid S=s^{(i)}\right) P\left[S=s^{(i)}\right]=\sum_{i=1}^{M} P\left[R \in D_{i} \mid S=s^{(i)}\right] p_{i} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{M} p_{i} P\left[S+N \in D_{i} \mid S=s^{(i)}\right]=\sum_{i=1}^{M} p_{i} P\left[N+s^{(i)} \in D_{i}\right] \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{M} p_{i} \int_{D_{i}} f_{N}\left(r-s^{(i)}\right) d r=\sum_{i=1}^{M} \int_{D_{i}} p_{i} f_{N}\left(r-s^{(i)}\right) d r .
\end{aligned}
$$

This gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(\mathcal{E}) & =1-P(\mathcal{C}) \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{M} p_{i} \int_{D_{i}^{c}} f_{N}\left(r-s^{(i)}\right) d r=\sum_{i=1}^{M} \int_{D_{i}^{c}} p_{i} f_{N}\left(r-s^{(i)}\right) d r .
\end{aligned}
$$

Although, at first, the above expressions may look complicated, it is similar to what we did in Chapter 3: graphically, the area under the (selected) plot is $P(\mathcal{C})$.

$P(C)=$ sum of the "chosen" elements

$P(C)=$ area under the "chosen" curves.

Example 8.13. Back to Example 8.6.



Figure 44: Probability of Successful Detection for Binary PAM under "Triangular" Noise
8.14. Gaussian Noise: When the noise $N$ is Gaussian with mean 0 and standard deviation $\sigma_{N}$,

$$
f_{N}(n)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi} \sigma_{N}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{n}{\sigma_{N}}\right)^{2}}
$$



Definition 8.15. In general, a Gaussian (normal) random variable $X$ with mean $m$ and standard deviation $\sigma$ is characterized by its probability density function (PDF):

$$
f_{X}(x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi} \sigma} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{x-m}{\sigma}\right)^{2}} .
$$

To talk about such $X$, we usually write $X \sim \mathcal{N}\left(m, \sigma^{2}\right)$. Probability involving $X$ can be evaluated by

$$
P[X \in A]=\int_{A} f_{X}(x) d x
$$

In particular,

$$
P[X \in[a, b]]=\int_{a}^{b} f_{X}(x) d x=F_{X}(b)-F_{X}(a)
$$

where $F_{X}(x)=\int_{-\infty}^{x} f_{X}(t) d t$ is called the cumulative distribution function (CDF) of $X$.

We usually express probability involving Gaussian random variable via the $Q$ function which is defined by

$$
Q(z)=\int_{z}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} e^{-\frac{x^{2}}{2}} d x
$$

Note that $Q(z)$ is the same as $P[S>z]$ where $S \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$; that is $Q(z)$ is the probability of the "tail" of $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$.

It can be shown that

- $Q$ is a decreasing function
- $Q(0)=\frac{1}{2}$
- $Q(-z)=1-Q(z)$


Figure 45: $Q$-function

- This is useful for converting the argument of the $Q$ function to positive value.

8.16. Three important noise probabilities for $N \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \sigma_{N}^{2}\right)$ :


$$
\begin{aligned}
P[N>c]=Q\left(\frac{c}{\sigma_{N}}\right), P[N<c]=1-Q\left(\frac{c}{\sigma_{N}}\right), P[a<N<b] & =P[N>a]-P[N>b] \\
& =Q\left(a / \sigma_{N}\right)-Q\left(b / \sigma_{N}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$ that also include equalities because the noise is a continuous random variable and hence including one particular noise value does not change probability. Example 8.17. In a binary antipodal signaling sch/me, the message $S$ is randomly selected from the alphabet set $\mathcal{S}=\{-33$ with $P[S=-3]=0.3$ and $P[S=3] \stackrel{\rho_{2}}{=}$.7. The message is corrupted by an independent additive noise $N \sim \mathcal{N}(0,2)$. Find the MAP detector $\hat{s}_{\text {MAP }}(r)$.



For a given $r$, we select $\hat{s}(r)=s^{(2)}$ if and only if

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{2} f_{N}\left(r-s^{(2)}\right)>p_{1} f_{N}\left(r-s^{(1)}\right) \\
& p_{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi} \sigma} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{r-s^{(2)}}{\sigma}\right)^{2}}>p_{1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi} \sigma} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{r-s^{(1)}}{\sigma}\right)^{2}} \\
& r>\frac{\sigma^{2}}{s^{(2)}-s^{(1)}} \ln \frac{p_{1}}{p_{2}}+\frac{s^{(1)}+s^{(2)}}{2}=\frac{\sigma^{2}}{d} \ln \frac{p_{1}}{p_{2}}-\frac{s^{(1)}+s^{(2)}}{2} \\
& \text { ML detector ha, }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { only phi part. } \\
& \tau_{M_{L}}=\frac{s^{(1)}+s^{(2)}}{2} \\
& \begin{aligned}
d & =s^{(2)}-s^{(1)} \\
& =\left\|s_{2}(t)-s_{1}(t)\right\| \\
\sigma & =\sqrt{N_{0} / 2}
\end{aligned} \quad \begin{array}{l}
-\tau^{*}=\frac{\sigma^{2}}{s^{(2)}-s^{(1)}} \ln \frac{p_{1}}{p_{2}}+\frac{s^{(1)}+s^{(2)}}{2}=\frac{\sigma^{2}}{d} \ln \frac{p_{1}}{p_{2}}+\frac{s^{(1)}+s^{(2)}}{2} \\
\text { Area }_{1}=p_{1} Q\left(\frac{\tau^{*}-s^{(1)}}{\sigma}\right)=p_{1} Q\left(\frac{d}{2 \sigma}+\frac{\sigma}{d} \ln \frac{p_{1}}{p_{2}}\right) \\
\text { Area }_{2}=p_{2} Q\left(\frac{s^{(2)}-\tau^{*}}{\sigma}\right)=p_{2} Q\left(\frac{d}{2 \sigma}-\frac{\sigma}{d} \ln \frac{p_{1}}{p_{2}}\right)
\end{array} \\
& P(\mathcal{E})=p_{1} Q\left(\frac{\tau^{*}-s^{(1)}}{\sigma}\right)+p_{2} Q\left(\frac{s^{(2)}-\tau^{*}}{\sigma}\right) \\
& =p_{1} Q\left(\frac{d}{2 \sigma}+\frac{\sigma}{d} \ln \frac{p_{1}}{p_{2}}\right)+p_{2} Q\left(\frac{d}{2 \sigma}-\frac{\sigma}{d} \ln \frac{p_{1}}{p_{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We can see from the last expression that the error probability of the optimal (MAP) detector depends on $s^{(1)}$ and $s^{(2)}$ only through their distance $d$.

$$
p_{1}=0.3, p_{2}=0.7, s^{(1)}=-3, s^{(2)}=3, \quad f_{N}(n)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{n}{\sigma_{N}}\right)^{2}}, \sigma_{N}^{2}=2
$$

From the plot, $\tau_{\text {map }}$ is the $r$ value at which

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{1} f_{N}\left(r-s^{(1)}\right)=p_{2} f_{N}\left(r-s^{(2)}\right) \\
& 0.3 \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi} \sigma_{N}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{r-(-3)}{\sigma_{N}}\right)^{2}}=0.7 \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi} \sigma_{r}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{r-3}{\sigma_{N}}\right)^{2}} \\
& \frac{0.3}{0.7}=e^{-\frac{1}{2 \sigma_{\omega}^{2}}}(\underbrace{(r-3)^{2}-(r+3)^{2}}_{r^{2}-6 r+9}) \\
& \left.\begin{array}{c}
r^{2}-6 r+9 \\
-\left(r^{2}+6 v+9\right)
\end{array}\right\}=-12 r \\
& \hat{A}_{\text {MAP }}(r)= \begin{cases}-3, & r<-0.2824, \\
3, & r \geqslant-0.2844 .=e^{-\frac{1}{(2)(2)}(-12 r)}=e^{-\left(r^{2}+6 r+9\right)} \\
\quad(-\infty-0.2924)\end{cases} \\
& = \begin{cases}-3, & r \in D_{1}^{L-\infty,-0.24} \quad 3 v=\ln \left(\frac{0.3}{0.7}\right)=\ln \left(\frac{3}{7}\right) \\
3, & r \in D_{2 \pi} \quad r=\frac{1}{3} \ln \left(\frac{3}{7}\right) \approx-0.2824-\tau_{\text {MAP }}\end{cases} \\
& {[-0.2824, \infty) p(C)=\left(p_{1}-A_{r e a_{1}}\right)+\left(p_{2}-A_{\text {yea }}\right)} \\
& P(\varepsilon)=1-P(C) \\
& =q_{1}-p_{1}+A_{\text {ra }}-P_{2}+\text { Area }_{2} \\
& =0.3 Q\left(\frac{\tau_{\text {maP }}-(-3)}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \\
& \approx 0.3 Q(1.9216) \\
& A_{\text {ven }}^{2}=P_{2} Q\left(\frac{\Delta_{2}}{\sigma_{N}}\right) \\
& =0.7 Q\left(\frac{3-\tau_{\text {MAD }}}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \\
& \approx 0.7 Q(2.3210)
\end{aligned}
$$

8.18. For $M$-ary PAM under additive noise channel where $R=S+N$, $S \Perp N$, and $N \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \sigma_{N}^{2}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{l}
N \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \sigma_{N}^{2}\right) \\
\hat{s}_{\mathrm{MAP}}(r)=\underset{s \in \mathcal{S}}{\arg \max }\left(p_{S}(s) s_{N}^{(1)} s^{(2)}, \ldots, s^{(m)}\right\}=\text { the collection of } \\
\text { possible } S \text { values. }
\end{array} \\
& \ln ()(53) \\
& \times 2 \sigma_{N}^{2} \downarrow=\underset{s \in \mathcal{S}}{\arg \max }\left(p _ { S } ( s ) \underset { s \in \mathcal { S } } { \operatorname { a r g } \operatorname { m a x } } \left(2 \sigma_{N}^{2} \ln p_{S}(s)-e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{r-s}{\sigma_{N}}\right)^{2}}\right.\right. \\
& =\underset{s \in \mathcal{S}}{\arg \max }\left(\sigma_{N}^{2} \ln p_{S}(s)-\frac{E_{s}}{2}+s \cdot r\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and from (55), when we set all $p_{S}(s)$ to be the same (or ignore the $p_{S}(s)$ in our calculation)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1, when ve set all } p_{S}(s) \text { to be the same }\left(\text { or ignore the } p_{S}(s)\right. \text { in } \\
& \text { n) }
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition 8.19. In "standard" multi-level PAM, we require that the
(1) spacing between all adjacent signals to be the same. Furthermore, all $M$ signals are2qually likely. To minimize the average energy, we also require that the constellation is "centered" around zero.


Suppose the distance between adjacent signals is $d$, then the $M$ signals are represented in the constellation by

$$
s^{(j)}=\frac{d}{2}(2 j-1-M) .
$$

Example 8.20. Probability of Detection Error for Standard Quaternary PAM


Therefore, the detection error probability is

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(\mathcal{E})=1-P(\mathcal{C})=\frac{3}{2} q=\frac{3}{2} Q\left(\frac{d}{2 \sigma}\right) . \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

For easier comparison with other modulation schemes, we express $P(\mathcal{E})$ in terms of the signal-to-noise ratio (abbreviated SNR or S/N). Here, SNR = $\frac{E_{b}}{\sigma^{2}}$. To find the average energy per bit $E_{b}$, we first find the average energy per symbol:

$$
E_{s}=\sum_{j=1}^{M} p_{j} E_{j}=\frac{1}{4}\left(\left(-\frac{3 d}{2}\right)^{2}+\left(-\frac{d}{2}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{d}{2}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{3 d}{2}\right)^{2}\right)=\frac{5}{4} d^{2}
$$

Scaling $E_{s}$ by $\log _{2} M$, which is the number of bits per symbol, we get the average energy per bit:

$$
E_{b}=\frac{E_{s}}{\log _{2} M}=\frac{\frac{5}{4} d^{2}}{\log _{2} 4}=\frac{5}{8} d^{2}
$$

Hence, we can replace the distance $d$ in (57) by

$$
d=\sqrt{\frac{8}{5} E_{b}}=2 \sqrt{\frac{2}{5} E_{b}}
$$

which gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(\mathcal{E})=\frac{3}{2} Q\left(\frac{2 \sqrt{\frac{2}{5} E_{b}}}{2 \sigma}\right)=\frac{3}{2} Q\left(\sqrt{\frac{2}{5} \frac{E_{b}}{\sigma^{2}}}\right) . \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose noise process in the waveform channel is AWGN with PSD $\frac{N_{0}}{2}$. Then $\sigma^{2}=\frac{N_{0}}{2}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(\mathcal{E})=\frac{3}{2} Q\left(\sqrt{\frac{4}{5}\left(\frac{E_{b}}{N_{0}}\right.}\right) . \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

8.21. At this point, we know that we are able to find the optimal detector (the MAP detector) and the corresponding detection error probability by first converting the waveform channel into the vector channel. Note that to do this, we will first need to find the orthonormal axes (possibly by GSOP) and projects all the signals into the signal space. However, it turns out that, when we actually want to build a detector, we don't have to do any of these at all. Once we have gone through all the derivation of the optimal detector and the corresponding detection error probability and got answers in the vector channel, we can convert our answers back to the waveform calculations. This is what we will do next.
8.22. Correlation detector: Recall, from (56), that for additive noise channel where $R=S+N, S \Perp N$, and $N \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \sigma_{N}^{2}\right)$,

Now,

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{s}_{\mathrm{MAP}}(r) & =\arg \max _{\in \in S}\left(\sigma_{N}^{2} \ln p_{S}(s)-\frac{E_{s}}{2}+r \cdot s\right)  \tag{60}\\
r \cdot s^{(j)} & =\left\langle r(t), s_{j}(t)\right\rangle=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} r(t) s_{j}(t) d t
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, the calculation involved in finding the optimal detector can be performed directly on the original waveform functions of the signals.

This mathematical equivalence means the optimal detector can be implemented with correlators as shown in Figure 46. Note that

$$
\eta_{j}=\sigma_{N}^{2} \ln p_{j}-\frac{E_{j}}{2} .
$$



Figure 46:
Correlation detector.
8.23. Matched filter implementation of the optimal detector: In practice, to calculate the correlation (inner-product) $\langle r(t), s(t)\rangle$ above, we use filtering. Such filter is called the matched filter.

Recall that when a signal $r(t)$ passes through a filter whose impulse response is $h(t)$, the output of the filter is given by

$$
\{r * h\}(t)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} r(\tau) h(t-\tau) d \tau
$$

Let's try $h(t)=s(T-t)$ for some constant $T$. This filter is called the matched filter. Note that

$$
h(t-\tau)=s(T-(t-\tau))=s(T-t+\tau) .
$$

Therefore,

$$
\{r * h\}(t)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} r(\tau) s(T-t+\tau) d \tau .
$$

In particular,

$$
\{r * h\}(T)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} r(\tau) s(\tau) d \tau=\langle r(t), s(t)\rangle .
$$

(a)

(b)

Matched filter


Figure 47: Two equivalent implementations of the inner-product between the received signal and the signal waveform: (a) via correlator and (b) via matched filter.

So, now we have an alternative way to calculate the inner-product term in 60. This new calculation via matched filter is shown in Figure 47b where it is also compared with the correlator implementation. When all the correlators in Figure $\sqrt{46}$ are replaced by the corresponding matched filters, we obtain Figure 48 which is an implementation of the optimal (MAP) detector via matched filters.


Figure 48:
Matched filter
implementation
of the optimal
detector

### 8.24. Capacity of the AWGN channel:

Back when we finished the study of optimal decoder for the discrete communication channel in Chapter 3, we then proceeded to find the limit of the communication rate via the concept of channel capacity in Chapter 4.

For the waveform channel under additive white Gaussian noise (AWGN) introduced in Chapter 7, similar information-theoretic quantities and analysis can be defined and performed to find the channel capacity. Its meaning is still the same, being the maximum rate that information can be reliably sent over the channel. Additionally, we will assume that the mean square value of the channel input can not exceed $P$. It turns out that, for the AWGN channel with power constraint $P$ and the mean square value of the noise is $\sigma_{N}^{2}$, the channel capacity is


For a band-limited AWCN channel for which signals are bandlimited to $B[\mathrm{~Hz}]$. If the power spectral density of the noise is $\frac{N_{0}}{2}$ as discussed in Chapter 7, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{N}^{2}=\mathbb{E}\left[N^{2}(t)\right]=R_{N}(0)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} S_{N}(f) d f=\int_{-B}^{B} \frac{N_{0}}{2} d f=\frac{N_{0}}{2} \times 2 B=N_{0} B . \\
& \text { Recall that, from the sampling theorem a maxinum on } 2 B \text { independent }
\end{aligned}
$$ samples of information per serofid can be transmitted, ervortree, over such


bits per second.
Remarks:
(a) Capacity can be made infinite by increasing the signal power $P$ to infinity.
(b) Suppose we increase the bandwidth. In the limit as $B \rightarrow \infty$, the channel capacity approaches a limit of $\left(\log _{2} e\right) \frac{P}{N_{0}}$.

